Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	☐ Key Decision	Significant		☐ Administrative			
		Operational Decision		Decision			
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	☐ below £25,000		☐ below £25,000			
value	£500,000 to £1,000,000	∑ £25,000 to	£100,000	£25,000 to £100,000			
	over £1,000,000	☐ £100,000 to	o £500,000				
		Over £500,000					
Director ¹	City Development						
Contact person:	James Hirst		Telephone number: 0113 3787458				
Subject ² :	Authority to Procure the UT	TMC Support for Bus Priority in SPRUCE and additional					
	System Development and waiver of Contracts Procedure Rule 15.2(c).						
Decision	What decision has been taken?						
details ³ :							
	The Chief Officer, Highways and Transportation:						
	a) approved the open tender procurement and evaluation of subsequent						
	submissions for the UTMC Support for SPRUCE Developing Bus Priority						
	Strategies Contract (the 'Contract'). The Contract is expected to commence						
	on 1st July 2024 to 30th June 2025 with the option to extend the contract for						
	a further 12 months to 30 th June 2026 using the evaluation criteria set out in						
	the tender documentation.						
	b) approved a waiver of Contracts Procedure Rule (CPR) 15.2(c) to allow for						
	the combined Price/Quality split to be evaluated on 70% Quality and 30%						
	Price.						
	c) Noted the estimated contract value is £45,000 per annum (total estimated						
	value £90,000).						
	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision						
	(Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having						
	consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)						
	1 Leeds City Council is committed to expanding the implementation of bus						
	priority through traffic signals across the network. Bus priority is an important						
	tool for achieving quicker and more reliable bus journey times. To achieve this,						
	additional resource is re	equired to deve	lop the releva	nt strategies and, to			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list ³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

_	_					
	develop more effective systems, further development of the UTMC system					
	essential.					
	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision					
	maker at the time of making the decision					
	2 A waiver of Contract Procedure Rules (CPRs) 8.1 and 8.2 to enter into a contract without seeking competition was considered but dismissed as there was no guarantee only one supplier could provide the service.					
	3 A market sounding exercise (MSE) was considered to determine the level of competition in the market to see if a waiver was viable, but it was determined that aggregating waivers is not in line with the CPRs, and an open competitive tender should be conducted.					
	4 Given the importance of continued work on SPRUCE, bus priority and the new optimiser, it is deemed appropriate for the Council to proceed with this open tender exercise to ensure a supplier is procured in line with the Councils CPRs.					
Affected wards:	N/A					
Details of	Executive Member N/A					
consultation	Ward Councillors N/A					
undertaken4:	Chief Digital and Information Officer⁵ N/A					
	Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer ⁶ N/A					
	Others N/A					
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation					
	5 James Hirst and the Highways Procurement team will aim to have the contract					
	awarded in June 2024, with a start date of 1st July 2024.					
	3.13.353 53.15 252 1, Will a start date of 1 53.19 2524.					
List of	Date Added to List:- n/a					
Forthcoming	Date Added to List II/a					
Key Decisions ⁷	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision					
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval					
	Signature Date					
Publication of	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason					
report ⁸	why not possible:					

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

⁵ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of digital technology

6 See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's

land and buildings.

⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁸ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

	If published late relevant Executive member's approval					
	Signature	nature Date				
Call In	Is the decision available ⁹	Yes		⊠ No		
	for call-in?					
	If exempt from call-in, the re council or the public:	ason why ca	III-in would pre	judice the interests of the		
Approval of	Authorised decision maker ¹⁰					
Decision	Kate Morris, Head of Transport Planning					
	Signature		Date			
	Rate Hain		15/05/2024			

⁹ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3. ¹⁰ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.